## Case 1:15-cr-10153-DPW Document 431 Filed 11/13/19 Page 1 of 2

REMINDER

FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE

Name: DAVID DAOUD WRIGHT Reg#: 96674-038 FCI Terre Haute P.O. Box 33

2019 NOV 13 PM 1: 35

Terre Haute, IN 47808

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASS.

DATE: (Monday) October 28, 2019

Judge WILLIAM G. YOUNG, I hope this letter reaches you in the book state of affairs and well-being. As for what follows;

- 1. In light of the gov. decision <u>not</u> to retry the case for the def. on ct. I (e.g. Conspiracy to Provide Material Support to a Foreign Terrorist Organization), a judgment of acquital must be entered on the aforementioned account, a not guilty verdict.
- 2. Pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(6)(1)(4)(5) & (6), the def. proceeds and explains thus;
  - (1) THE STATE INADVERTENCE, SURPRISE OR EXCUSABLE NEGLECT
    - In this case, the Appellate court overtured and "vacated" the def. ct. I and remanded to the district court for firther proceedings (e.g. "New Trial" or "Dismissal, Vacation"), and here the gov. has decided not to retry the def. on ct. I, levely compelling this court to vacate ct. I.
  - (4) THE JUDGMENT IS WOLD
    - In this case, the gov. decision not to retry the def. on Ct. I has now left the remanded decision of the Appellate cart losit relates to a "New Trial") void, and def. ct. I must now be recented.
  - (5) THE JUDGMENT HAS BEEN SATISFIED, RELEASED OR DISCHARGED: IT IS BASED ON AN EARLIER JUDGMENT THAT HAS BEEN REVERSED OR VACATED: OR APPLYING IT PROSPECTIVELY IS NO LONGER EQUITABLE
    - In this case, the gov. has decided not to retry the def. on ct. I and the "vacated" ct. I must now be affirmed as "vacated" by this court. In addition to the aforementioned, the def. requests that counts 2-5 be vacated and a judgment of acquittal be entered, since counts 2-5 are dependent on the ct. I, which has been effectively useasted. The spill-over effect of the (Caspirary to rivide Material Support to a Designated Foreign Tempist Organization) evidence used at Trial, was so overwhelming, it saturated every other count so that the definishing in fact to be acquitted of that candict.
    - (6) ANY OTHER REASON THAT JUSTIFIES RELIEF
      - \* In this case, justice demands at a minimal degree, a NEWTRIAL on counts 2-5.

        ( see also supreme Court Decision Genzalez v. Crosley 545 U.S. 524 (2003) )

3. It would be REPARTED TO THE BERN (Dodlinberth ABIV. Reported 18610 F. Redo 12 pt 22 (14 Cir. 1986)

Liqueting United States V. Inchlicate, 611 F. Zd 376, 387 (1st Cir. 1979), per counts 2-5 not to be vacated or preferably be granted a <u>New Trial</u> in the absence of ct. 1 (e.g. Comspiracy to Provide Material Support to a Designated Foreign Tenorist Organization) Conviction.

## CONCLUSION

Tudge Young, as it can hardly be disputed, this entire case was orthored by ard centered around ct. 1, def. alleged (Conspirally to Provide Material Support...) that it cannot be orgued, the acquitted on this cant demonds the dismissal of cants 2-5 or at a minimum (pretendly) a <u>New Trial</u> on these cants... Even def. its sentence of 28 years was principally predicated on this conviction (e.g. ct. 1), such that in the absence of this conviction, the def. should be resentenced to a far less severe sentence than three (3) decades of incarceration.

If counts 2-5 will not be vacated and a <u>New Trial</u> on the aforementioned is granted, then this will afford the def. a fair and just opportunity to prove and establish his innocence of the counts 2-5; as the Appeals Cart correctly recognized of the def. as it relates to Ct. I that:

"Wright testified extensively at trial.." and that "Consistent with this aspect of Wright's testimony, we note, Wright also offered expert testimony from a newopsychologist...." they stated (anong other things);

Taking account of that factor here, we conclude that a rational jury could have found from this evidence that Wright could have been simply "role-playing" with respect to following ISIS's direction. (see Appellate cart 18-1039, 25 47-48)

[RESPECTEULLY SUBMITTED]
Sincerely, Wird Might